

**internet Antitrust and Regulatory Quarterly
(iKAR)**

Review form

We want to thank you for your willingness to review an article for iKAR, an academic and professional periodical published by CARS, dedicated to the fundamental problems of antitrust and sector regulation in Poland, the EU and the world and addressed to all academic and professional communities in this field.

We especially thank you for your willingness to review the submitted article for iKAR in a double-blind peer review system, in which the authors do not know the reviewers and the reviewers do not know the authors.

We would also appreciate your adherence to the following review rules.

- 1. Competence:** A reviewer who do not feel qualified to review the received article or perceives a conflict of interest should return it immediately to the subject editor - no later than 7 days.
- 2. Suspicion of plagiarism and violation of the principles of scientific integrity:** a reviewer who suspects that the article under review violates another party's copyrights,

including the ghostwriting or guest authorship, should inform the thematic editor when submitting the review at the latest.

3. **Clear formulation of opinions:** the review should be done on the review form; however, if less than 8 points are awarded in a given category, the reviewer should justify their negative or critical opinions; they may also formulate recommendations for the author.
4. **Recommendation to the Editorial Board:** editors are asked to make a clear recommendation as to the appropriateness of publishing an article in iKAR according to the following rules: (a) acceptance for publication: 38-50 pts; (b) acceptance after minor revisions: 30–37 pts; (c) referral for supplementation: 36-20 pts; (d) rejection: less than 20 pts.
5. **Ethical rules:** reviewers must adhere to iKAR's ethical rules and follow the designated guidelines for reviewers.
6. **Time:** reviewers are asked to return reviews within 14 days.

The full range of rules for the procedure can be found on the [iKAR website](#).

Publication title:

.....

.....

Rating

Evaluation criteria	Points (0–10)
<p>1. Topics</p> <p>Does the article deal with an issue covered by the iKAR profile? Does the article deal with an issue relevant to the iKAR profile? Does the article deal with an issue that causes controversy in doctrine or practice? Is it of practical significance?</p>	
<p>2. Content and layout</p> <p>Does the article contain all the structural elements appropriate for the publication type? Is its content consistent with the title? Is the structure of the</p>	

article and the flow of the argument correct? Is the argument presented clearly?	
3. Originality Does the article deal with issues that are new and not discussed in iKAR or other Polish-language publications? Does it examine topics addressed in iKAR or other Polish-language publications in a novel way? Does it fill a gap in the current state of antitrust and regulatory law doctrine in Poland?	
4. Meaning Does the article convincingly propose a specific course of interpretation or application of the regulations? Does it outline the need for regulatory change? Have divergences in case law or doctrine been identified? Does the article provide valuable information for practitioners?	
5. Scientific workshop Does the article adequately use the achievements of domestic doctrine? Does the author's argument sufficiently take into account the achievements of foreign doctrine? Does the article take into account the jurisprudence of administrative bodies or Polish courts? Does the article take into account foreign case law?	
Total (0–50)	

Specific comments, in particular, justification for grades below 8 points.

.....

Reviewer's position

Based on the evaluation made above, I recommend to the Editorial Board (mark with "X"):

- ACCEPT THE ARTICLE FOR PUBLICATION
- ACCEPT FOR PUBLICATION AFTER MINOR REVISIONS
- SEND BACK TO THE AUTHOR WITH A REQUEST FOR CHANGES
- REJECT